

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/830,073	04/23/2004	Gordon Paul Kurtenbach	1500.1054C	7674	
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 09/30/200 I SEVII P	8	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T		
1201 NEW YO WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. ON DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
······································	11, 50 2000		2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/30/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/830,073	KURTENBACH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JENNIFER T. NGUYEN	2629	

	JENNIFER T. NGUYEN	2629						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this plication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the plication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
	periods: The period for reply expires <u>2</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on; (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(00/->						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed was presented.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS	in the time period out lotal in or	51 11 4 1.07 (u).						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I 	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		•						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the proposed amendment of the proposed amend		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.4-11.13.15-17.19.21.23 and 25</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. 🔲 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Richard Hjerpe/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629	/J. T. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 2629							

Continuation of 13. Other: in the Remarks, Applicants stated that "Tanimoto is relate to inputting data on a 2D plane...does not contemplate inputting data in 3D space" and "the modification of Kent in view of Tanimoto would merely describe positioning a cursor in a two-dimension plane but not mapping the affect to a 3D position". Examiner respectfully disagrees. Kent teaches an input configuration (i.e., fingers, gloved fingers, stylus...) coupled to the volumetric display output configuration (i.e., touching three dimensional display) and comprising a passive sensor (1909) allowing a user to affect the display content through the passive sensor by mapping the affect to a 3D position of a image (col. 77, line 62 to col. 78, line 29). Kent teaches inputting data on the display in 3D space this limitation does not never to rely on Tanimoto. Kent does not specifically teach an image on display is a cursor. Tanimoto teaches a stylus as a cursor on touch screen (fig. 12A, col. 20, lines 7-39). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the at at the time the display apparatus more easily and efficiently. The combination of Kent and Tanimoto teaches as lique ser to interactive with the display apparatus more easily and efficiently. The combination of Kent and Tanimoto teaches all the claimed limitations of claim 1. Moreover, Applicants submitted that the cited at falls to describe said input configuration comprising an input device moving in three dimensions on a surface of said display". Examiner respectfully disagrees. Kent teaches an input configuration comprising on input device moving in three dimensions on a surface of said display". Examiner respectfully disagrees. Kent teaches an input configuration comprising an input device moving in three dimensions on a surface of said display (col. 13, lines 63-67, col. 77, line 62 to col. 78, line 29). One touching object on 3D display can be sensed by a cloved fingers moving by the user.